Benefits dependency network for stroke service improvement* - some enablers and anticipated results

*Built on outputs of table discussions session of the WMC launch on the 16th November 2016. Delegates were asked to discuss and debate stroke-related data, modelling projects and future work.

Enablers	Enabling changes		Business changes		c	Outcomes	I	Benefits
Promote preventive	Review evidence base Develop a framework for health promotion.		Implement health promotion activity. (Patients & public). Early education: smoking, obesity, etc		Avoidance			
activity	Work with primary care re: tackling risk factors and secondary prevention.		Targeted intervention for those with stroke risk factors (e.g. high BP & previous TIA). Preventive meds. Health promotion advice.		Reduced der services.	nand for acute	In	nproved health
Early intervention (Pre-event)	Amendment to resources, service model/ infrastructure where required e.g. management of Atrial Fibrillation (AF)		Align resources/ system elements to quickly convey the patient to		Better outco public	mes for patients/	Va	alue for money
Early intervention (Event)	Review current system capabilities/ capacity/ efficiency - (feed into modelling)		hospital and deliver swift diagnostics/ treatment/ care. (Thrombolysis, ASU) Improve identification/ recording of mimics to improve modelling. Adopt mathematical model to	1	Evidence for for mobilisin	change - support g	0	Reduced reliance on acute services
Undertake whole	Involve clinicians, planners, and managers with modellers.				Stakeholder engagement		re	educe demand
	 Identify key pathway elements. Incorporate work already done/ 				framework -	pility to develop a performance amework - baseline & xpected) measures ervices provided across a antinuum of need.		nproved akeholder
system/ intelligent modelling	 evidence base. (e.g. PenCHORD) Model effect of placing a senior decision maker at front door. Bed modelling - acute 		assess impact of changes at different points in the pathway & implement optimal options for stroke service redesign.					Ability to deliver more care closer patient networks (excluding hyper
What if?	 Bed modelling - community (model demand to be moved to community under various LoS assumptions). Model clinically appropriate bed ring fencing. Predictive modelling for outcomes following rehab. Factor in seasonality, geography, predicted demand changes and service impacts (including wider system, WAST etc.). 		Develop and implement (revised) model for early intervention.		Better understanding of stroke pathway		🗾 pa	
			Develop and implement acute & community bed plan.			patriate patients e handover of care.		
			Implement changes to rehab model.		Delivery of s	afe/effective care.	/	
			Implement a capacity model which accounts for annual leave/ sickness, etc.		Efficient serv	rvices.		
	der when modelling. ts of whole system modelling for service redesign.		General challenges include: -Health promotion - needs widespread s -Evidencing cost avoidance of preventat			Data specific challe -What data? -What tools?	nges incl	ude:

Potential impacts of whole system modelling for service redesign. Anticipated benefits

-Health promotion - needs widespread suppot -Evidencing cost avoidance of preventative measures -Long term population assessment - modelling impact -Potential cost of optimal model esp. initial cost

-What analytical capability/time?

*Need standardised data